

Interview Summary

Application No.
08/846,108

Applicant(s)
Klm

Examiner
Charles Appiah

Group Art Unit
2682



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Charles Appiah (3) _____

(2) Conrad R. Solum, Jr. (Reg. No. 20,467) (4) _____

Date of Interview Jun 20, 2001

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Claim(s) discussed: N/A

Identification of prior art discussed:

N/A

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) N/A

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Applicant's representative was informed about the inadvertent granting of "Foreign Priority" for non-received foreign priority documents and hence there is no granting of foreign priority. (copy faxed to Applicant)

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

VIVIAN CHANG
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Interview Summary

Application No.
08/846,108

Applicant(s)
Klm

Examiner
Charles Appiah

Group Art Unit
2682



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Charles Appiah (3) _____

(2) Conrad R. Solum, Jr. (Reg. No. 20,467) (4) _____

Date of Interview Jun 20, 2001

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Claim(s) discussed: N/A

Identification of prior art discussed:

N/A

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ Was reached. g) ☐ Was not reached. h) N/A


Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Applicant's representative was informed about the inadvertent granting of "Foreign Priority" for non-received foreign priority documents and hence there is no granting of foreign priority.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.


VIVIAN CHANG
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.